

GREENBERG QUINLAN ROSNER RESEARCH

October 13, 2008

State of the Congressional Battleground



DEMOCRACY CORPS
CARVILLE ♦ GREENBERG

Methodology

Surveys in the Congressional Battleground

1600 likely voters in 50 GOP-held battleground House districts

October 6-9, 2008

Margin of Error: 2.5%

860 likely voters in 10 Democratic-held battleground districts

October 6-8, 2008

Margin of Error: 3.4%

Competitive Republican Congressional Districts

Republican Tier 1

- Alaska 01
- Arizona 01*
- Colorado 04
- Florida 08
- Florida 24
- Illinois 11*
- Louisiana 04*
- Michigan 07
- Minnesota 03*
- Nevada 03
- New Jersey 03*
- New Jersey 07*
- New Mexico 01*
- New York 13*
- New York 25*
- North Carolina*
- Ohio 15*
- Pennsylvania 03
- Virginia 11*
- Washington 08

Republican Tier 2

- Alabama 02*
- Arizona 03
- California 04*
- Connecticut 04
- Florida 13
- Florida 21
- Florida 25
- Idaho 01
- Illinois 10
- Kentucky 02*
- Maryland 01*
- Michigan 09
- Missouri 06
- New Mexico 02*
- New York 26*
- New York 29
- Ohio 01
- Ohio 16*
- Pennsylvania 06
- Virginia 02

Republican Tier 3

- Indiana 03
- Missouri 09*
- Minnesota 06
- Nebraska 02
- Nevada 02
- Ohio 07*
- Pennsylvania 15
- Virginia 10
- West Virginia 02
- Wyoming 01*

**Note: Denotes open seat in which the incumbent is not seeking reelection.*

Competitive district types

Rural Small Town (18%)

- Alabama 2
- Alaska 01
- Arizona 01
- Idaho 01
- Kentucky 02
- New Mexico 02
- New York 29
- Ohio 07
- Wyoming 01

Suburban (18%)

- Connecticut 04
- Illinois 10
- Michigan 09
- Minnesota 03
- Nevada 03
- New Jersey 07
- New York 25
- Pennsylvania 06
- Virginia 11
- Washington 08

Exurban (10%)

- California 04
- Florida 24
- Illinois 11
- Minnesota 06

Urban/Suburban (9%)

- Florida 08
- Ohio 15
- Ohio 16
- Virginia 02

Suburban/Rural (14%)

- Colorado 04
- Maryland 01
- Michigan 07
- Missouri 06
- New York 26
- North Carolina 08
- West Virginia 02

Urban (20%)

- Arizona 03
- Florida 13
- Florida 21
- Florida 25
- Nebraska 02
- New Jersey 03
- New Mexico 01
- New York 13
- Ohio 01
- Pennsylvania 15
- Virginia 10

Urban/Rural (10%)

- Indiana 03
- Louisiana 04
- Missouri 09
- Nevada 02
- Pennsylvania 03

Most marginal Democratic districts

Democratic Districts

- Alabama 05 – Open
- California 11
- Florida 16
- Georgia 08
- Kansas 02
- Louisiana 06
- New Hampshire 01
- Pennsylvania 10
- Pennsylvania 11
- Texas 22

Districts that were Republican-Held in 2006

- California 11
- Florida 16
- Kansas 02
- New Hampshire 01
- Pennsylvania 10
- Texas 22

Districts that were Republican-Held in 2008

- Louisiana 06*


**Note: The Democrats won the Louisiana 06 in May 2008 by special election after the resignation of Republican congressman Richard Baker in February 2008.*

Change mood endangers Republican seats

Rising disgust with status quo in Republican districts

Generally speaking, do you think things in the country are going in the right direction, or do you feel things have gotten pretty seriously off on the wrong track?


○ Wrong track
● Right direction



*Note: Time series data only of 40 GOP-held districts in tiers 1 and 2.

Bush job approval remains low; 34 percent approve in GOP-held districts

Do you approve or disapprove of the way George Bush is handling his job as president?



Net Difference	Jun-07	Aug-07	Dec-07	Feb-08	Jun-08	Aug-08	Oct-08	Oct-08	Oct-08
	-30	-26	-31	-21	-31	-27	-29	-29	-28


**Note: Time series data only of 40 GOP-held districts in tiers 1 and 2.*

Low marks for both parties, but GOP fares worse even in Republican seats

Now, I'd like to rate your feelings toward some people and organizations, with one hundred meaning a VERY WARM, FAVORABLE feeling; zero meaning a VERY COLD, UNFAVORABLE feeling; and fifty meaning not particularly warm or cold.

■ Cool ■ Warm


Net



Voters increasingly looking to vote for change, Democrats for Congress

Now I'm going to read you some pairs of statements. After I read each pair, please tell me whether the FIRST statement or the SECOND statement comes closer to your own view, even if neither is exactly right.

- In November, I really want to be able to vote for a Democrat for Congress because at least he or she will fight for change
- In November I will vote for (REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE) because the new democratic Congress is just business as usual and getting no more done than the last one




*Note: Time series data only of 40 GOP-held districts in tiers 1 and 2.

Voters' desire for change, Democrats in Congress strongest in Tier 1

Now I'm going to read you some pairs of statements. As I read each pair, please tell me whether the FIRST statement or the SECOND statement comes closer to your own view, even if neither is exactly right:


Statement 1: In November I really want to be able to vote for a Democrat for Congress because at least he or she will fight for change.

Statement 2: In November I will vote for (REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE) because the new Democratic Congress is just business as usual and getting no more done than the last one.



Democrats lead in Tier 1 seats; tight races in Tier 2 & 3 districts


Thinking about the election for Congress in November, if the election for U.S. Congress were held today, would you be voting for the Democratic candidate or the Republican candidate?*



**Note: For each district, the candidates' names were inserted, preceded by their party identification. In the LA-4, where the candidates are not yet official, generic candidates ("the Democratic candidate" and "the Republican candidate") were inserted.*

Democratic candidates start to close the gap in GOP Tier 3


Thinking about the election for Congress in November, if the election for U.S. Congress were held today, would you be voting for the Democratic candidate or the Republican candidate?*



**Note: For each district, the candidates' names were inserted, preceded by their party identification.*

Democrats have opportunity in open battleground districts


Thinking about the election for Congress in November, if the election for U.S. Congress were held today, would you be voting for the Democratic candidate or the Republican candidate*?



*Note: For each district, the candidates' names were inserted, preceded by their party identification. In the LA-4, where the candidates are not yet official, generic candidates ("the Democratic candidate" and "the Republican candidate") were inserted.

Democrats move from tie to commanding lead in Democratic-held seats

Now let me ask you again about your vote for Congress in November. If the election for US Congress were held today, would you be voting for (DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE) or (REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE)?




*Note: For each district, the candidates' names were inserted, preceded by their party identification.

Republican candidates lose standing in Democratic districts

Now, I'd like to rate your feelings toward some people and organizations, with one hundred meaning a VERY WARM, FAVORABLE feeling; zero meaning a VERY COLD, UNFAVORABLE feeling; and fifty meaning not particularly warm or cold.

■ Cool ■ Warm
Net




*Note: Data from Democratic-held seats only

GOP Incumbents Remain Vulnerable

Low approval for GOP incumbents in top two tiers

Do you approve or disapprove of the way (HOUSE INCUMBENT)* is handling his/her job as a member of the U.S. Congress?



*Note: For each district, the candidates' names were inserted, preceded by their party identification. In the LA-4, where the candidates are not yet official, generic candidates ("the Democratic candidate" and "the Republican candidate") were inserted.


*Note: Data only of incumbents seeking reelection.

Incumbents in danger across the board, most vulnerable in Tier 1

Now I'm going to read you some pairs of statements. As I read each pair, please tell me whether the FIRST statement or the SECOND statement comes closer to your own view, even if neither is exactly right:

Statement 1: In November, I CAN'T vote to reelect (HOUSE INCUMBENT) because we need new people that will work to bring the changes we need.


Statement 2: In November, I WILL vote to reelect (HOUSE INCUMBENT) because he/she is doing a good job and addressing issues that are important to us.



*Note: Actual names of incumbents inserted, unless asked in an open seat.

GOP incumbents sport anemic ratings on most attributes


Now, I am going to read you a list of words and phrases people use to describe political figures. For each word or phrase, please tell me whether it describes the (REPUBLICAN HOUSE INCUMBENT) very well, well, not too well, or not well at all.



*Note: Asked only of incumbents.

Fewer than 50 percent say incumbent “fights for people here”

Now, I am going to read you a list of words and phrases people use to describe political figures. For each word or phrase, please tell me whether it describes the (HOUSE INCUMBENT) very well, well, not too well, or not well at all.




Net Difference	+15	+11	+19	+10
-----------------------	------------	------------	------------	------------

*Note: Asked only of incumbents.

*Note: Time series data only of 40 GOP-held districts in tiers 1 and 2.

Only 43 percent say member seen as “on your side”

Now, I am going to read you a list of words and phrases people use to describe political figures. For each word or phrase, please tell me whether it describes the (HOUSE INCUMBENT) very well, well, not too well, or not well at all.




*Note: Asked only of incumbents.

*Note: Time series data only of 40 GOP-held districts in tiers 1 and 2.

Half of voters reject that member “will bring the right kind of change”

Now, I am going to read you a list of words and phrases people use to describe political figures. For each word or phrase, please tell me whether it describes the (HOUSE INCUMBENT) very well, well, not too well, or not well at all.




*Note: Asked only of incumbents.

*Note: Time series data only of 40 GOP-held districts in tiers 1 and 2.

Slight uptick in Democratic incumbents' job approval

Do you approve or disapprove of the way (HOUSE INCUMBENT)* is handling his/her job as a member of the U.S. Congress?



*Note: Actual names of incumbents were inserted without partisan label.


*Note: Time series data only of 10 Democratic-held districts.

No real change in desire to re-elect Democratic incumbents

Now I'm going to read you some pairs of statements. As I read each pair, please tell me whether the FIRST statement or the SECOND statement comes closer to your own view, even if neither is exactly right:

Statement 1: In November, I CAN'T vote to reelect (HOUSE INCUMBENT) because we need new people that will work to bring the changes we need.

Statement 2: In November, I WILL vote to reelect (HOUSE INCUMBENT) because he/she is doing a good job and addressing issues that are important to us.




*Note: Actual names of incumbents inserted, unless asked in an open seat.

*Note: Data from Democratic-held seats only.

Democratic incumbents seen as effective and fighters, not as part of the mess in Washington

Now, I am going to read you a list of words and phrases people use to describe political figures. For each word or phrase, please tell me whether it describes the (DEMOCRATIC HOUSE INCUMBENT) very well, well, not too well, or not well at all.




*Note: Data from Democratic-held seats only

*Note: Asked only of incumbents.

Democratic incumbents improve standing on being seen as effective in Congress, bringing change

Now, I am going to read you a list of words and phrases people use to describe political figures. For each word or phrase, please tell me whether it describes the (DEMOCRATIC HOUSE INCUMBENT) very well, well, not too well, or not well at all.




*Note: Asked only of incumbents.

*Note: Data from Democratic-held seats only

Democrats seen as better at bringing change, breaking gridlock in Washington

Democrats lead on change and the economy in GOP battleground

Now I am going to ask you something different. I am going to read a list of issues and I want you to tell me whether, overall, you think (the Democratic House Candidate) or (the Republican House Candidate) would do a better job with this issue.




Democrats make big gains on being effective fighters in own districts

Now I am going to ask you something different. I am going to read a list of issues and I want you to tell me whether, overall, you think (the Democratic House Candidate) or (the Republican House Candidate) would do a better job with this issue.

■ Republicans Better ■ Democrats Better

Advantage



*Note: Data from Democratic-held seats only


Smaller gains on the economy and taxes in Democratic seats

Now I am going to ask you something different. I am going to read a list of issues and I want you to tell me whether, overall, you think (the Democratic House Candidate) or (the Republican House Candidate) would do a better job with this issue.


■ Republicans Better ■ Democrats Better

Advantage


Taxes



The economy



Handling Illegal Immigration



55 35 15 5 25 45 65


*Note: Data from Democratic-held seats only

Obama not a drag in Republican seats

Obama, McCain, Biden have net positive favorability in GOP battleground


Now, I'd like to rate your feelings toward some people and organizations, with one hundred meaning a VERY WARM, FAVORABLE feeling; zero meaning a VERY COLD, UNFAVORABLE feeling; and fifty meaning not particularly warm or cold.

■ Cool ■ Warm
Net



Obama moves into slight lead in GOP territory

Thinking about the election in November, if the election for president were held today and the candidates were -- Democrat Barack Obama, Republican John McCain, Libertarian Party candidate Bob Barr, Independent candidate Ralph Nader, and Louisiana Taxpayer Party candidate Ron Paul for whom would you vote?




Net Difference	Jan-08	Apr-08	Jul-08	Oct-08
	-5	+2	0	-3

*Note: Time series data only of 40 GOP-held districts in tiers 1 and 2.

Obama improves in Democratic-held congressional battleground

Thinking about the election in November, if the election for president were held today and the candidates were -- Democrat Barack Obama, Republican John McCain, Libertarian Party candidate Bob Barr, Independent candidate Ralph Nader, and Louisiana Taxpayer Party candidate Ron Paul for whom would you vote?




**Note: Data from Democratic-held seats only*

Communication efforts in the battleground

Voters hearing more from Republican candidates in GOP battleground


Have you seen, heard, or read anything recently about (Republican Candidate/Democratic Candidate)?



**Note: For each district, the candidates' names were inserted, preceded by their party identification. In the LA-4, where the candidates are not yet official, generic candidates ("the Democratic candidate" and "the Republican candidate") were inserted.*

Information about Republicans makes voters less favorable toward them


Thinking back to the last month or so, have the things you've seen, heard or read about (Republican Candidate) made you feel more or less favorable toward him/her, or haven't they made any difference?



**Note: For each district, the candidates' names were inserted, preceded by their party identification. In the LA-4, where the candidates are not yet official, generic candidates ("the Democratic candidate" and "the Republican candidate") were inserted.*

Information about Democrats makes voters more favorable toward them

Thinking back to the last month or so, have the things you've seen, heard or read about (Democratic candidate) made you feel more or less favorable toward him/her, or haven't they made any difference?




**Note: For each district, the candidates' names were inserted, preceded by their party identification.*

Phone calls, mail even in tier 1, more Republican contact in Tiers 2 & 3

Now I'd like to ask you which congressional candidate has tried more to reach you in this campaign in different ways, (DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE) or (REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE)?


Republican Advantage



Democrats have advantage on personal field contact, TV in tier 1; Web contact by Democrats even or better than Republicans in all tiers


Now I'd like to ask you which congressional candidate has tried more to reach you in this campaign in different ways, (DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE) or (REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE)?

Republican Advantage



Voters hearing more from Democratic candidates in Democratic seats

Have you seen, heard, or read anything recently about (Republican Candidate/Democratic Candidate)?




*Note: Data from Democratic-held seats only

*Note: For each district, the candidates' names were inserted, preceded by their party identification.

In Democratic seats, hearing about Democrats improves favorability

Thinking back to the last month or so, have the things you've seen, heard or read about (Republican/Democratic Candidate) made you feel more or less favorable toward him/her, or haven't they made any difference?



**Note: Data from Democratic-held seats only*

**Note: For each district, the candidates' names were inserted, preceded by their party identification.*


Democrats have edge on all forms of communication in their districts

Now I'd like to ask you which congressional candidate has tried more to reach you in this campaign in different ways, (DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE) or (REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE)?

Democratic Advantage

■ The Republican candidate

■ The Democratic candidate



*Note: Data from Democratic-held seats only


The financial crisis and the economy

Voters split over concerns about deficiencies of both candidates' policies

Now I'm going to read you some pairs of statements. As I read each pair, please tell me whether the FIRST statement or the SECOND statement comes closer to your own view, even if neither is exactly right:

Statement 1: I'm more concerned that (REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE) will continue the economic policies that have cost us jobs and caused higher prices.

Statement 2: I'm more concerned that (DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE) will raise taxes and increase government spending.




Voters more upset than relieved about bailout

Now I'm going to read you some pairs of statements. As I read each pair, please tell me whether the FIRST statement or the SECOND statement comes closer to your own view, even if neither is exactly right:

Statement 1: When I saw that Congress passed the bailout plan I was relieved because I thought it might help the economy.

Statement 2: When I saw that Congress had passed the bailout plan I was upset because it bails out Wall Street and raises the deficit.

■ First statement strongly
■ Second statement strongly
■ First statement not so strongly
■ Second statement not so strongly




Voters want Congress to take action now on economy

Now I'm going to read you some pairs of statements. As I read each pair, please tell me whether the FIRST statement or the SECOND statement comes closer to your own view, even if neither is exactly right:

Statement 1: The Congress should now take action to help the economy get out of a recession.

Statement 2: After spending so much on the bailout, Congress can't afford to do more on the economy

- First statement strongly
- Second statement strongly
- First statement not so strongly
- Second statement not so strongly




Bailout causes voters in GOP seats to want to vote *for* Democrats and *against* Republicans

Now I'm going to read you some pairs of statements. As I read each pair, please tell me whether the *FIRST* statement or the *SECOND* statement comes closer to your own view, even if neither is exactly right:

Statement 1: The financial crisis and the sinking economy and what's happening in Washington is leading me to want to vote *for* Democrats/*against* Republicans.

Statement 2: The financial crisis and the sinking economy and what's happening in Washington is leading me to want to vote *for* Republicans/*against* Democrats.




Bailout causes voters in Democratic seats to want to vote *for* Democrats and *against* Republicans

Now I'm going to read you some pairs of statements. As I read each pair, please tell me whether the *FIRST* statement or the *SECOND* statement comes closer to your own view, even if neither is exactly right:

Statement 1: The financial crisis and the sinking economy and what's happening in Washington is leading me to want to vote *for* Democrats/*against* Republicans.

Statement 2: The financial crisis and the sinking economy and what's happening in Washington is leading me to want to vote *for* Republicans/*against* Democrats.



*Note: Data from Democratic-held seats only

Post-bailout economy messaging: Negative frame

Now I am going to read you a pair of statements that might be made by the congressional candidates about the economy. Please tell me whether the Democrat's statement or the Republican's statement comes closer to your own view, even if neither is exactly right.

(DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE) says George Bush's policies and greedy Wall Street investors have left our financial markets in turmoil, put our home values and retirement at risk and destroyed nearly a million American jobs this year alone. (REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE) wants to continue the Bush policies of rewarding Wall Street and corporations that outsource with more tax breaks while giving next-to-no tax relief to middle class families. We need a few direction that gets our economy back on track by putting the middle class first.*

OR

(REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE) says I broke with President Bush and the Republican leadership to oppose the giant Wall Street bailout because I didn't think American taxpayers should be forced to bailout greedy banks that didn't play by the rules. We need to get our economy back on track by reigning in runaway spending and lowering taxes on American families and small businesses. (DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE) is a tax-and-spend liberal who will bloat the government and raise our taxes when we can least afford it.*

Post-bailout economy messaging: Positive frame

Now I am going to read you a pair of statements that might be made by the congressional candidates about the economy. Please tell me whether the Democrat's statement or the Republican's statement comes closer to your own view, even if neither is exactly right.

(DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE) says we need to get our economy back on track by cracking down on Wall Street greed, cutting taxes for the middle class, investing in alternative energy to create millions of high-quality jobs, eliminating tax breaks for companies that outsource jobs and instead giving them to companies that create jobs here. (REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE) wants to continue George Bush's policies that have left our financial markets in turmoil, put our retirement at risk and destroyed nearly a million American jobs.*


OR

(REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE) says I broke with President Bush and Republican leadership to oppose the giant Wall Street bailout because I didn't think American taxpayers should be forced to bail out greedy banks that didn't play by the rules. We need to get our economy back on track by reigning in runaway spending and lowering taxes on American families and small businesses. (DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE) is a tax-and-spend liberal who will bloat the government and raise our taxes when we can least afford it.*

Positive frame much more effective for Democrats in GOP battleground

Now I'm going to read you a pair of statements that describe what (DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE) and (REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE) might say about this proposal. After I read these statements, please tell me which one you agree with more.

- Democratic statement strongly
- Democratic statement somewhat
- Republican statement strongly
- Republican statement somewhat




Quinlan Rosner

Note: Actual candidate names inserted in introduction to each message

Positive frame much more effective for Democrats in their own seats too

Now I'm going to read you a pair of statements that describe what (DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE) and (REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE) might say about this proposal. After I read these statements, please tell me which one you agree with more.

- Democratic statement strongly
- Democratic statement somewhat
- Republican statement strongly
- Republican statement somewhat




*Note: Data from Democratic-held seats only

Note: Actual candidate names inserted in introduction to each message

Social Security Debate

In Democratic districts, dramatic differences between generations' perception of Social Security

Now, I'd like to rate your feelings toward some people and organizations, with one hundred meaning a VERY WARM, FAVORABLE feeling; zero meaning a VERY COLD, UNFAVORABLE feeling; and fifty meaning not particularly warm or cold.



*Note: Data from Democratic-held seats only

Democrat v. Republican on Social Security

Now I am going to read you a pair of statements that might be made by the congressional candidates about Social Security. Please tell me whether the Democrat's statement or the Republican's statement comes closer to your own view, even if neither is exactly right.

(DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE) says that (REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE) supports George Bush's risky plan to privatize Social Security benefits, which would cut the guaranteed benefits that people worked a lifetime earning and gamble their retirement money on the volatile stock market. I'll fight any efforts to privatize Social Security and work to shore up the system by putting the Social Security Trust Fund off-limits so Congress can't raid it to fund new government spending.*


OR

(REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE) says if we don't reform Social Security the system won't be able to pay the benefits promised to our children. I am against privatization but we need to make Social Security solvent by giving younger Americans the choice of investing some of their payroll taxes into safe long-term investment while guaranteeing that older Americans won't see their benefits touched. (DEMOCRAT CANDIDATE)'s only solution to fixing Social Security is to raise taxes on millions of Americans.*

Voters in GOP seats divided on Social Security debate

Now I am going to read you a pair of statements that might be made by the congressional candidates about Social Security. Please tell me whether the Democrat's statement or the Republican's statement comes closer to your own view, even if neither is exactly right.

- Democratic statement strongly
- Democratic statement somewhat
- Republican statement strongly
- Republican statement somewhat




Note: Actual candidate names inserted in introduction to each message

Voters split over Social Security debate in Democratic-held seats

Now I'm going to read you a pair of statements that describe what (DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE) and (REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE) might say about this proposal. After I read these statements, please tell me which one you agree with more.

- Democratic statement strongly
- Democratic statement somewhat
- Republican statement strongly
- Republican statement somewhat




*Note: Data from Democratic-held seats only

Note: Actual candidate names inserted in introduction to each message

Generational divide on Social Security debate in Democratic districts

Now I am going to read you a pair of statements that might be made by the congressional candidates about the economy. Please tell me whether the Democrat's statement or the Republican's statement comes closer to your own view, even if neither is exactly right.



*Note: Data from Democratic-held seats only

Note: Actual candidate names inserted in introduction to each message

GREENBERG QUINLAN ROSNER RESEARCH

www.greenbergresearch.com

Washington, DC
10 G Street NE,
Suite 400
Washington, DC 20002

Ph: +1 202 478 8300
Fax: +1 202 478 8301

California
50 California Street,
Suite 1500
San Francisco, CA 94111

Ph: +1 415 277 5403
Fax: +1 415 358 9599

London, UK
405 Carrington House,
6 Hertford Street
London, UK W1J 7SU

Ph: +44 (0) 207 499 5204
Fax: +44 (0) 207 499 5284